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## ALPHA HELIX CRUISE 222

18 July 1999 to 22 August 1999
I. Project Title: A COLLABORATIVE STUDY OF THE DYNAMICS AND ECOSYSTEM IMPLICATIONS OF POST-BLOOM PRODUCTION AT THE INNER FRONT OF THE SOUTHEASTERN BERING SEA

Chief Scientist: George L. Hunt, Jr. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology University of California, Irvine Irvine CA 92697-2525<br>Phone: (949) 824-6322<br>824-6006 (message)<br>FAX: (949) 824-2181<br>18 May to 20 September: Phone (360) 378-6748<br>e-mail: glhunt@uci.edu

## Support: NSF OPP-9617287

OPP-9819251
II. Scientific Purpose: We hypothesized that elevated primary production at the inner front of the southeastern Bering Sea continues longer than in the upper mixed layer of non-frontal waters, and that this production provides an energy source throughout the summer for a food web that supports shearwaters, salmon, and their zooplankton prey. To test this hypothesis, we collected and interpreted observations on physical and biological features in the vicinity of the inner front to determine: 1) the availability of nutrients in the euphotic zone, 2) the physical processes responsible for enhanced vertical flux of nutrients, 3) primary production, 4) the distribution, abundance, and trophic ecology of near-surface swarms of euphausiids and other zooplankton, 5) the distribution, abundance, and foraging ecology of shearwaters, and 6) by stable isotope enrichment, trophic pathways from phytoplankton to shearwaters at and away from the
front. In addition, we examined grazing rates of phytoplankton of various size fractions to determine the fate of the coccolithophore bloom. This cruise is the sixth of six planned for these projects.

As part of this cruise, we also conducted brief investigations of shearwater foraging in the vicinity of Akutan Pass and of the conditions under which baleen whales were foraging over the mid shelf region of the southeastern Bering Sea. The latter study was funded by the National Marine Mammal Laboratory as an add-on to our cruise.

## III. Personnel

| George Hunt | Chief Sci. | UCI | USA | Ornithology |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Steve Zeeman | Co-PI | U. New England | USA | Primary Production |
| Ken O. Coyle | Co-PI | U. AK Fairbanks | USA | Zooplankton |
| Dean Stockwell | Co-PI | U. AK Fairbanks | USA | Nutrients |
| Nancy Katchel | Res. Assoc. PMEL | USA | Physical Oceanog. |  |
| Lucy Vlietstra | Student | UCI | USA | Ornithology |
| Jaime Jahncke | Student | UCI | Peru | Ornithology |
| M. Brady Olson | Student | Western WA U | USA | MicroZooplankton |
| Edward Rodowicz | Student | U. New England | USA | Primary Production |
| Heloise Chenelot | Student | U.AK Fairbanks | France | Nutrients |
| T. Rho | Student | UAK Fairbanks | Korea | Nutrients |
| Carolina Pickens | Technician | UCI | USA | Ornithology |
| Alexei Pinchuk | Technician | U. AK Fairbanks | Russia | Zooplankton |

## IV. Cruise Schedule

| DATE | TIME | ACTIVITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 July | 10:30: | Castoff from Seward Marine Center |
| 21 July | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07:30: } \\ & \text { 17:50: } \end{aligned}$ | Enter Bering Sea, begin bird survey to whale grid Begin Bird/Whale, CTD and CaIVET surveys of Central Line of Whale Grid from WC-7 to WC-1 At WC-7, 87 m CaIVET and 30 m CTD for microzooplankton grazing and DMS/DMSP lyase activity |
| 22 July | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 03:50: } \\ & 07: 40: \\ & 20: 07 \\ & 22: 11: \\ & 23: 00 \end{aligned}$ | Complete CTD survey with a CTD at CNC-10 Begin Bird/Whale and Acoustic Survey of the WS-line End Survey of WS-line <br> MOCNESS tow at WS-7 <br> CaIVET tow for microzooplankton HPLC |
| 23 July | 01:09: 04:07: 07:45: | MOCNESS tow, mid Whale Grid <br> CTD, Mooring 2 <br> Bird/Whale and CTD survey of the WN-line; 60 m CalVET tow and 5 m CTD at WN-2 at edge of Coccolithophore Bloom for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, and DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 13:00: | Locate Fin Whales at Station WN-4 |
|  | 14:30: | MOCNESS tow where whales foraging |
|  | 17:30: | MOCNESS tow WN-5 |
|  | 20:40: | MOCNESS tow at WN-7 |
|  | 23:32: | Completed Bird/Whale survey at WN-9 grid extension |
| 24 July | 00:55: | Completed CTD survey at WN-10 grid extension. |
|  | 01:20: | Underway to Dutch Harbor for new transducer |
|  | 14:35: | Arrive Unalaska Bay, Stand-by for arrival of parts |
| 25 July | 13:34: | Still Waiting for Transducer to arrive, depart for Akutan Pass study |
|  | 15:15: | Investigation of shearwater foraging in Pass; CTD survey across small convergence at side of pass. |
|  | 16:30: | MOCNESS survey across convergence zone |
|  | 18:10: | Collected 8 Shearwaters and 3 Fulmars in pass. |
|  | 20:41: | Begin Bird and CTD Survey from pass northward across Bering Canyon, end of flood tide (northflowing) Stations AP-11 to AP-16 |
| NOTE: AS OF CTD 31, CHANGE IN FLUOROMETER TO MEDIUM SENSITIVITY |  |  |


| 26 July | 01:11: <br> 01:50: <br> 11:04: <br> 12:57: <br> 17:15: | Complete CTD survey of AP-line <br> Underway to Dutch Harbor <br> Depart Dutch Harbor with new transducer <br> Begin bird and CTD section of AP-11, 12, and 13 at end of ebb tide (south-flowing) <br> Begin CTD cross-section of Unimak Pass along northern sill with stations UP-1 to UP-6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 July | 01:26: | Slime Bank: MOCNESS survey, inner and middle grid at SBE-1, and SBA-2, inner stations, and SBC-3, in fluorescence maximum. |
|  | 07:22: | Bird and Acoustic survey from SBE-1 to SBE-10 |
|  | 11:45: | In Situ Productivity Station at SBE-10 |
|  | 13:47: | Begin Bird and CTD section from SBE-10 to SBE-1 with stations at SBE-10, 8, 6,5, 4, 3, 2, and 1. |
|  | 12:30: | CaIVET and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase and nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 18:40: | Begin Bird, CaIVET and CTD section from SBC-1 to 11 with CTDs and CaIVETs at all stations. |
|  | 20:04: | CaIVET and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment |
| 28 July | 00:08: | End Survey of SBC-line |
|  | 00:57: | Begin CTD section of SBA-line Stations at SBA-10, 8, $6,5,4$, and 2 |
|  | 05:57: | MOCNESS at SBE-6.5 |
|  | 10:29: | Bird and Acoustic survey of A-line from SBA-10 to -1 |
|  | 14:47: | In Situ Productivity station at SBA-1; Nutrient amendment study |
|  | 16:46: | End In Situ Prod, run for cover in heavy weather |
| 29 July | 00:45: | MOCNESS at SBC-9, SBA-7, SBE-4 |
|  | 07:30: | Bird and Acoustic Survey of C-line from SBC-2 to 10 |
|  | 11:20: | Bird Collecting near SBC-11 |
|  | 12:57: | In Situ Prod, SBC-5; CTDs for nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 15:30: | In Situ Prod, SBE-4 |
|  | 15:45: | 48 m CaIVET tow and 6 m CTDs for MicroZooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, and DMS/DMSP lyase, nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 18:32: | CTD and bird re-survey post-storm E-line, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 , and 8 |
|  | 23:30: | Depart for Nelson Lagoon |


| 30 July | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07:40: } \\ & \text { 12:00: } \\ & \text { 12:52: } \\ & \text { 17:27: } \\ & 20: 20: \end{aligned}$ | Bird and Acoustic Survey Nelson Lagoon D-5 to D-1 <br> Deploy Zeeman's TSRB sensor <br> CTD and bird survey of NSLGB, B-1 to B-5 <br> Underway to Port Moller Grid with bird obs. <br> Bird Collecting Inner Grid between PMB-2 and PMD-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31July | 00:00: | MOCNESS survey inner grid PME-2, PMC-3, PMA-2 |
|  | 07:06: | Bird and Acoustic Survey PME-1 to PME-11 |
|  | 12:26: | In Situ Productivity, PMC-11 |
|  | 13:00: | 64 m CaIVET and 9 m CTD for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, and DMS/DMSP lyase, and nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 14:33: | Bird and Acoustic Survey PMC-11 to PMC-1 |
|  | 20:03: | Bird and Acoustic Survey of PMA-1 to PMA-11 with birds only to PMA-7 |
| 1 August | 00:54: | MOCNESS survey outer grid PMA-11, PMC-9, PME-11 |
|  | 07:04: | CTD and bird survey E-line, all stations PME-12 to PME-1 |
|  | 12:30: | PMC-1, 21 m CaIVET tow and 11 m CTD for Microzooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, and |
|  |  | DMS/DMSP lyase, and nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 12:30: | In Situ Productivity, PMC-1 |
|  | 14:55: | CTD, bird and CalVET survey all stations PMC-1 to PMC-11 |
|  | 21:29: | CTD and bird survey of PMA-line from PMA-10 to PMA-2; Stations at: PMA-10, 8, 6, 5, 4, and 2 |
| 2 August | 01:35: | MOCNESS survey of mid grid: PMA-5, PMC-6, PME-5 |
|  | 07:24: | Zig-Zag Grid for mapping foraging flocks between stations 1 and 3 starting at PME-3 and going to PMA line and return to E |
|  | 12:50: | In Situ Productivity Station PMC-5 plus nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 14:45: | Depart for Port Heiden Line, outer end, in bad weather |
|  | 21:23: | Port Heiden 2 to Port Heiden 1, bird Obs. Only |
|  | 22:08: | Change course for lee of coast Bird Obs. Continue |
|  | 23:24: | Anchored in lee |
| 3 August |  | At anchor, waiting out bad weather |


| 4 August | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07:32: } \\ & \text { 17:24: } \\ & \text { 19:00: } \\ & 23: 43: \end{aligned}$ | Underway for Cape Newenham grid, with Bird Obs. CTD at outer end Newenham trough (NT-1) Abort NT line and head for shelter Anchored in Security Harbor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 August | 07:30: | Begin CTD and CaIVET survey, with bird observations along Cape Newenham C-line. Stations at: CNCX-17, X-16, X-15, X-14, X-13, X-12, X-11, / $\mathrm{X}-10, \mathrm{X}-8, \mathrm{X}-5, \mathrm{X}-3, \mathrm{X}-1, \mathrm{CNC}-2,4,6,7,8,9,10,12$, $13,14,15,16$, and mooring 2. |
|  | 22:30: | MicroZooplankton Grazing experiment at CNC-7 |
| 6 August | 07:35: | Acoustic and bird survey from CNC-14 to CNCX-1 for birds and CNCX-5 for acoustics. |
|  | 14:16: | In Situ Productivity Station at CNC-11; CaIVET and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase and nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 19:32: | Collected 4 shearwaters near CNC-5; |
| 7 August | 00:22: | MOCNESS survey, CNCX-5, CNCX-3, CNCX-1, and CNC-4 |
|  | 07:10: | Repeat CTD and bird survey of main grid and offshore from CNCX-1 to CNC-16, with break for two In Situ Prods. |
|  | 11:30: | In Situ Productivity station at CNC-5; and nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 14:35: | In Situ Productivity station at CNCX-2; CaIVET and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
| 8 August | 00:18: | MOCNESS survey, CNC-15, Mooring 2 and one more |
|  | 07:11: | Acoustic and bird survey Whale Grid WN-5.5 to Mooring 2 |
|  | 10:39: | In Situ Productivity Station, Mooring 2 with Repeat; CaIVET and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 18:15: | Acoustic and bird survey Whale Grid WN-4 to WN-1 |
| 9 August | 01:14: | MOCNESS survey, CNC-13, CNC-11, CNC-8; CaIVET and CTDs at CNC-13 for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 07:05: | Bird survey, CNC-8 to CNCX-13, with breaks for CTDs; no acoustics due to bad weather |
|  | 12:09: | CTD at CNCX11, CNCX-13, and CNCX-14 for Zee; |
|  | 19:32: | CTD and bird survey of Cape Newenham trough |


| 10 August | 00:34: 07:02: 16:00: | MOCNESS survey, CNCX15, CNCX-12 and CNCX-8 Acoustic and bird survey, CNCX-5 to CNCX-15 Depart for Nunivak Island |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 August | 07:18: | Survey of the NIC line with CTDs, birds and CaIVETs from CNCX-15 to NIC-16; |
|  | 11:22: | CaIVET and CTDs at NICX-8 for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 11:55: | Collected 1 shearwater |
|  | 20:15: | CaIVET and CTDs at NIC-13 for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
| 12 August | 01:17: | MOCNESS survey, NIC-11, NIC-13, NIC-15 |
|  | 07:49: | Acoustic and bird survey from NIC-15 to NICX-8 for birds and to NICX-11 for acoustics with break for Prods. |
|  | 14:33: | In Situ Productivity station at NIC-4; CaIVET and CTDs at for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase, and nutrient amendment studies |
| 13 August | 00:44: | MOCNESS survey, NICX-11, NICX-13, NICX-15 |
|  | 07:20: | CTDs and bird survey, off beach from NICX-17 to NICX-15-8, |
|  | 08:49: | Water for Deck Prod at NICX-15; CalVet tows and CTDs for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 09:14: | Acoustic and bird survey from NICX-15 to NICX-5 |
|  | 15:04: | In Situ Productivity Station at NICX-8; and CTDs for nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 20:18: | Fine-scale CTD survey of central grid NIC-2 to NIC-8 with stations also at C-2.5, 3.5, and 4.5 , with bird obs |
| 14 August | 00:23: | MOCNESS survey, NIC-8, NIC-5, NIC-3 |
|  | 07:17: | CTD and bird survey NIA2 to NIA-13 |
|  | 11:56: | collected 7 shearwaters from foraging group |
|  | 13:52: | In Situ Productivity station NIA-11, and CTD for nutrient amendment studies |
|  | 15:40: | collected 5 shearwaters from foraging flock |
|  | 18:19: | CTD and bird survey from NIE-14 to NIE-2 |
| 15 August | 00:14: | MOCNESS survey, NIC-1, NICX-4, NICX-8 |
|  | 06:49: | CTD and bird resurvey of C-line from NICX-2 to NIC16 with breaks for a CTD at mooring IF2A, and a prod |


|  | 11:27: | In Situ Prod at station NIC-8; and CTDs for MicroZooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase, and nutrient amendment studies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14:10: | CTD at Mooring IF2A |
|  | 18:52: | Begin NP line with CTD and CaIVETs at stations at NP-1, Mooring 4, NP-2, NP-3, NP-4, NP-5, NP-6 |
| 16 August | 00:40: | CaIVETS and CTDs at for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase |
|  | 03:23: | Acoustic survey from SPE-1 to SPE-4 |
|  | 09:10: | In Situ Prod at SPE-4 |
|  | 11:01: | CTD, CalVET and bird survey along SPE-4 to SPE-1 |
|  | 16:30: | Acoustic and bird survey SPW-1 to SPW-3 |
|  | 21:06: | CaIVETs and CTDs at for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, DMS/DMSP lyase, |
|  | 21:13: | CTD, CaIVET and bird survey SPW-4 to SPW-1 |
|  | 23:01: | Water for Deck Prod taken at SPW-3 |
| 17 August | 01:32: | CTD and CaIVET survey of SPG1 to SPG-4 line |
|  | 05:34: | SPG-3 CaIVETs and CTDs at for Micro-Zooplankton Grazing Experiment, HPLC, and DMS/DMSP lyase, studies |
|  | 09:30: | Depart Pribilof Islands for Akutan Pass with bird obs. |
|  | 12:19: | Begin two short lines over Pribilof Canyon PC-1 to PC-8 |
|  | 12:38: | PC-1 water taken for a Deck Prod |
| 18 August | 08:18: | CTD and bird survey Akutan Pass AP-20 to AP-31 |
|  | 14:16: | CTD and bird survey Akutan Pass AP-31 to AP-19 |
|  | 19:40: | Collected 5 shearwaters |
|  | 20:17: | Acoustic and bird survey AP-19 to AP-23.5 |
|  | 22:00: | Begin time series of CTDs at AP-23.5 |
| 19 August | 04:31: | End time Series of CTD casts |
|  | 09:00: | Collected 5 shearwaters |
|  | 09:38: | NIO net tows (3) in area with shearwaters, AP-21 |
|  | 10:48: | CTD to confirm water column structure at AP-21 |
|  | 11:48: | CTD to confirm water column structure at AP-24 |
|  | 11:51: | NIO net tows (3) in area with no shearwaters, AP-24 |
|  | 15:30: | Depart for Seward |

## OVERVIEW

## WHALE STUDY:

The purpose of this study was to compare prey availability in the vicinity of foraging baleen whales with prey availability elsewhere in the southeastern Bering Sea. Based on information gathered during aerial surveys for right whales and other species of large whales in the middle shelf, we developed a survey grid that included a segment of the inner domain immediately offshore of our Cape Newenham grid. We then conducted a combination of, acoustic, net, and visual surveys to compare plankton densities and seabird/whale distributions within the middle domain and the adjacent portions of the inner shelf.

The purpose of this study was to develop a profile of the conditions under which large baleen whales, especially right whales and fin whales could forage successfully. We were able to document that the region in which these whales had been observed during aerial surveys was centrally located in the middle shelf domain in well-stratified water. The top end of the whale grid intersected the coccolithophorid bloom, with stations WN-1 and 2 , and station WC-1 in the bloom. It appeared that none of the stations on the WS-line were within intense bloom conditions. A limited, single acoustic survey of the WS line showed considerable biomass at and above 30 m , and MOCNESS nets within the grid had high densities of Calanus marshallae and post-larval gaddid fishes in the water. Euphausiids were almost completely absent from samples. We surveyed most of three lines for seabirds and whales. Fulmars and storm-petrels were most abundant on the WS-line, and shearwaters were scarce throughout the grid. A group of about 12 fin whales was encountered along the $W N$-line near station WN-4. These whales appeared to be foraging. They surfaced in clusters, with whales facing in multiple directions, blew several times, then dove for up to six minutes, resurfacing close to where they dove. No birds were foraging in the vicinity of these whales, although one or two fulmars stopped briefly where a whale dove. A MOCNESS sample taken through the area where the whales had been diving contained high numbers of $C$. marshallae and some post-larval fishes. Data on the densities of zooplankton in the water will be available once the MOCNESS samples have been processed.

Equipment failures resulted in the loss of two MOCNESS samples and one complete acoustic survey.

## SHEARWATER FORAGING IN AKUTAN PASS:

To take advantage of time available while waiting for the replacement transducer to arrive in Dutch Harbor, we undertook a brief preliminary study of shearwater foraging in the vicinity of Akutan Pass. We wished to determine the type of prey being taken by shearwaters near the pass, and the reason for the availability of prey aggregations in this area. In particular, we wished to determine the relative importance of upwelling as a physical mechanism forcing
the aggregation of the euphausiids versus the role of enhanced production in providing forage for near-surface aggregations of euphausiids.

When we arrived at the northern end of the pass on 25 July, thousands of short-tailed shearwaters were foraging in association with what appeared to be convergence zones along the sides and at the northern end of the pass. These areas of convergence, usually marked by the accumulation of feathers, seaweed, and other floatsum, were lined up across the tidal flow, as well as parallel to the flow along the edges.

To document these activities, we undertook a cross-section through a convergence where shearwaters were foraging. We first did a CTD section, followed by a MOCNESS deployment, in which a series of nets were opened sequentially within the top 20 m as we traveled from one side of the convergence to the other. Throughout this MOCNESS deployment, we also collected an acoustic record using the three good transducers (420, 200, and 100 kHz ). Subsequently we collected 8 short-tailed shearwaters and 3 northern fulmars.

We observed that the shearwaters aggregated loosely along the convergences, mostly on the Bering Sea side of the smooth water. They mostly sat on the water, occasionally sticking their heads under water or making surface plunges. There were occasional flurries of activity when a group of shearwaters would get up, fly along a portion of a convergence streak and then settle back on the water. After we passed through a group and scared them off the water, the gap gradually refilled with small groups of shearwaters flying in. Although there was some pecking at the surface, the overall impression was of a low-key desultory feeding effort by the shearwaters. There was no sign of the synchronous diving of large groups of shearwaters or hydroplaning seen on other cruises when shearwaters were foraging on near-surface swarms of adult euphausiids. Of the eight shearwaters collected, seven were eating small euphausiids and one was empty.

Fulmars were also observed foraging in the vicinity of the convergence lines. They were almost exclusively on the opposite side of the convergences from the shearwaters in what may have been water that had come through the pass from the Pacific side. Fulmars were often in tight clusters and pecked rapidly at the surface while sitting on the water. Phalaropes, also surface feeders, occurred in high numbers along the convergence line. They also pecked rapidly at the surface and moved along the line in large groups.

The CTD section showed warmer, fresher water over-riding cooler, saltier water, most likely from the Bering Sea. The acoustic section showed few echo returns and little was caught in the MOCNESS.

We also ran two CTD sections from the center of the channel in the pass to the northwest, out over the middle of Bering Canyon. The first run was at the
end of the flood tide, the second at the end of the ebb. After the flood, the chlorophyll maximum was shifted offshore and there was evidence of downwelling into the canyon. At the end of the ebb tide, the chlorophyll was shifted over the pass, and water from within the canyon had moved up into the pass. On both runs, flocks of shearwaters were present on the water in the vicinity of convergence streaks.

We returned to Akutan Pass for a series of additional measurements on 18 and 19 August. Again we found areas of high fluorescence associated with upwelled water at the north end of the pass. High densities of juvenile euphausiids were documented foraging in these patches. Where convergences occurred, we again found high numbers of shearwaters foraging. Of the 10 shearwaters collected, most were foraging on juvenile euphausiids.

A preliminary interpretation of these results suggests that two mechanisms may be involved in providing foraging opportunities for shearwaters to forage at passes. First, particularly as seen in our earlier work in the western Aleutian Islands, strong, tidally-driven upwelling at the seaward edges of the passes results in the aggregation of adult euphausiids at depth. When these aggregations are sufficiently shallow, shearwaters are able to forage profitably. Secondly, upwelling of nutrient-rich water from depth supports enhanced standing stocks of phytoplankton offshore of the passes in stratified water. Euphausiids, and possibly in particular, juvenile euphausiids forage in these near-surface patches, and are concentrated in convergences as tidal movements periodically advect these patches into the passes.

## THE INNER FRONT STUDIES

## Slime Bank:

We worked the Slime bank grid from 27 July until 29 July. We completed CTD sections on the A-, C-, and E-lines, with a series of CalVET net tows on the C-line. We also obtained acoustic and bird surveys on these three lines.
MOCNESS samples were obtained from the inshore portion of the grid (2), in the area of maximum fluorescence (2), and from the outer portion (3) of the grid. In Situ Productivity stations were also run in the inshore (1), fluorescence maximum (2), and outer portion (1) of the grid. Shearwaters (8) were collected at the outer end of the C-line.

When we arrived at Slime Bank, the weather had been calm for a considerable period, and neither the front nor the upper mixed layer were clearly defined. Maximum fluorescence values were present in the mid-grid regions, where expected if the frontal region was a source of nutrient flux to the upper mixed layer. Fluorescence was present inshore to near the bottom, but offshore was maximal in the vicinity of a weak pycnocline. Acoustic scattering showed abundant biomass near the bottom across much of the grid, and with a mid-water
layer present in the outer end of the C-line. There was also abundant biomass throughout the water column in mid grid. Comparison of the acoustic signatures and preliminary inspection of the MOCNESS tows suggest that much of the biomass present at Slime bank was a combination of small euphausiids and post-larval gaddids, probably walleye pollock. Seabirds of all species were scarce in the grid. Most shearwaters seen were flying to the west as single birds; few birds were seen on the water and in only one or two cases were birds seen foraging. At the outer end of C-line, several hundred shearwaters and fulmars were on the water and possibly feeding. Six of eight shearwaters collected from this group had small juvenile fish in their stomachs; the other two were empty.

There was a storm on the night of 28 July with winds to 60 knots. We repeated the SBE-line to compare hydrographic structure and the distribution of nutrients before and after the storm. The pycnocline was deeper, and the fluorescence maximum, which had been around station SBE-4, was now inshore at about station SBE-2.

## Nelson Lagoon:

We ran both an acoustic survey (D-line) and a CTD survey (C-line) in this grid. The wind was high and the planned work had to be curtailed. Few birds of any species were seen, although we did encounter a small group of foraging shearwaters and kittiwakes (attempts at collecting were unsuccessful). Several Kitletz' murrelets and a marbled murrelet were seen. There were what appeared to be small fish targets offshore in the vicinity of where we saw the foraging birds. No whales were seen, and no MOCNESS samples were taken.

## Port Moller:

We were able to run three CTD lines, three acoustic lines, conduct nine MOCNESS tows, and collect foraging shearwaters from four different flocks in the inner portion of the grid. The Port Moller Grid was stratified offshore and well mixed inshore. The transition was diffuse and the frontal area was poorly defined. Fluorescence was generally low and was concentrated over the middle of the grid near a dip in the pycnocline. Seabird foraging flocks, consisting mostly of kittiwakes and murres, were concentrated in a band between stations 1 and 3 , just offshore of the nearshore band of freshwater. Shearwaters were scarce in the grid, and the few collected from the mixed species foraging flocks were eating sandlance. Acoustic surveys indicated high concentrations of biomass from the middle of the grid to the offshore edge. MOCNESS tows showed much of this biomass to be small pollock and young stages of euphausiids.

## Port Heiden:

We ran one bird survey from offshore to the beach at Port Heiden. The weather was very poor, and visibility was limited by high waves and spray. Few birds were seen, although we did encounter a couple of mixed species foraging flocks. There were essentially no shearwaters in the area.

## Port Heiden to Cape Newenham:

We ran a bird survey while underway from Port Heiden to Cape Newenham. Again the weather conditions were marginal for observing. Few shearwaters were seen, most of which were flying.

## Cape Newenham:

We arrived in Cape Newenham after two days of 35 to 45 knot winds. A CTD profile at the outer end of the Cape Newenham trough showed the water was well mixed to the bottom at 50 m . After waiting out the storm for the night, we ran a CTD line from the shore out to Mooring 2 in the middle shelf region. The water column was well mixed to a depth of about 50 m . In the vicinity of the 50-m isobath, there was a well-defined frontal system with evidence of vertical advection at its inner edge. Visual observations of white water, high levels of fluorescence, and high extinction coefficients showed that the coccolithophorid bloom was located on the seaward side of the front and extended out into the middle shelf for about 50 km . Nutrients were depleted at and inshore of the front, although there was some suggestion of vertical advection in the vicinity of the front. Subsequent acoustic and MOCNESS surveys of zooplankton showed that euphausiids were scarce inshore of the front, though juvenile fish, euphausiids, and copepods were abundant offshore in the middle domain. Acoustic biomass was deeper in the water under the bloom, and approached the surface at both the inshore and offshore edges of the region of white water. Foraging birds were concentrated on both the offshore and, in particular, the inshore side of the coccolithophorid bloom. Comparatively few birds were seen foraging within the bloom. The few flocks of foraging shearwaters seen were inshore of the inner edge of the white water, although probably within the edge of the bloom. Shearwaters collected here either were empty or had the remains of juvenile fish in their stomachs.

## Nunivak Island:

At the Nunivak Island grid, we found well mixed water inshore of the 40 m isobath, a strongly demarked front between 40 and 55 m and stratified water offshore. Within the frontal zone, there were spikes of cold water projecting into the upper rnixed layer, possibly to the surface. These appeared to be narrow regions where deeper, nutrient-rich water was being advected toward the surface. Much of the grid was covered by the coccolithophorid bloom, which was particularly at and just offshore of the front. At the front, elevated fluorescence
was present from the surface to the bottom. Zooplankton was scarce inshore of the front, and more plentiful offshore. We encountered one small flock of shearwaters foraging inshore in an area where the water was darker colored, apparently a small area of lesser coccolithophorid density. A flock of shearwaters foraging offshore was determined to be eating juvenile pollock captured near the surface within the bloom. In general, few birds of any species were present in the grid.

An intriguing observation was the presence of warm, salty water above the cold pool, suggesting that advection of nutrients to the vicinity of the inner front could come from oceanic water that had moved across the shelf above the cold pool. A similar, though less distinct feature may have been present off the Cape Newenham grid.

This grid provides the clearest evidence that we have seen of how the front may act to inject nutrients into the upper water column. It suggests that the front may require particular circumstances if it is to support upward advection of nutrients, and thus production at the front supported by such advection may be only episodic. If upwelling is dependent on storms to set up the necessary conditions, then storm frequency and strength may be very important elements in determining prolonged production over the inner shelf.

## Pribilof islands:

Transects to the east and west of St. Paul Island revealed different hydrographic structures and nutrient distributions on the two sides. East of St. Paul, there was well-mixed water inshore and thermally stratified water over the cold pool. To the west, stratification was much weaker. In the east, acoustically determined biomass was generally at or above the pycnocline, whereas west of St. Paul, acoustic biomass was more scattered throughout the water column. Shearwaters were scarce on both transects, and there were no obvious distribution patterns for any species other than a general decrease in density as a function of distance from the island.

The line between the islands was run at night, and physical patterns recorded are discussed in the physics section.

Of interest were a pair of short lines across the south arm of Pribilof Canyon. As we headed south to the Aleutians, we encountered huge flocks of fork-tailed storm-petrels feeding and resting on the water. We returned north toward St. George with a short CTD line, and then turned south again with a second short line running southeast across the south arm of the canyon. In both crossings with CTDs, we documented a domed clockwise rotating eddy with foraging storm-petrels, and fulmars sitting on the water at convergence lines near the middle of the dome. The area also supported unusually high numbers of fur seals and Dall's porpoises. The birds and porpoises appeared to be foraging,
whereas the seals were resting in association with kelp paddies, which were numerous in the area. This was one of the richest aggregations of foraging birds and mammals that we have encountered along the shelf south of the Pribilofs.

## REPORTS FROM INDIVIDUAL GROUPS

## PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHY: Nancy Kachel

A total of 351 CTD casts were taken on the cruise, distributed as follows: 23 on the Whale grid; 62 in the vicinity of two Aleutian Passes; 41 at Slime Bank; 5 off Nelson Lagoon; 39 at Port Moller; 68 at the Cape Newenham grid; 88 at Nunivak Island, including 6 stations between Nunivak Island grid and St. Paul Island; 12 stations around the Pribilof Islands; and 8 stations across the south lobe of Pribilof Canyon.

## Whale Grid:

We occupied a grid of stations in an area determined by D. Demaster's whale survey. This grid was situated just outside end of the Cape Newenham grid. It's location approximately coincided with the width of the middle regime defined by the physical structure of the water column. This structure consisted of a warm layer $\left(8-9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), underlain by the cold pool, which was unusually cold ( $0.5-$ $1.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) this year. The thermocline between these layers was gradual and occupied a zone $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$ thick. At most stations, the salinity structure was very weak, exhibiting salinity differences of $<0.02 \mathrm{psu}$.


#### Abstract

Akutan Pass: On our first visit to Akutan Pass a line of five stations across the north side of the pass in the vicinity of a flock of $\sim 8000$ feeding shearwaters crossed a line of shear. The line was done as the tide was flooding through from the Pacific bringing with it somewhat warmer, higher salinity water. The northward tidal current occupied the eastern half of the section, while the southward return flow was found on the western side of Akutan Pass.

The other lines extended from near the pass outward into Bering Canyon. The first of these went out beyond the axis of the canyon, the second, only to a bottom depth of 150 m . The longer section, which was begun late on the flooding tide shows downwelling on the south side of the canyon, but most apparently at the canyon lip near the entrance to the pass. The second occupation of the line consisted of 3 stations just after the tide had begun to flood. Upwelling of waters from the canyon had moved the $5.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water at least 6 km into the pass. Surface waters of $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ had been carried into the pass more than 13 km .


At the end of the cruise, we returned to Akutan Pass, and a second CTD line was established from the Bering Sea slope to the Pacific side, which was occupied twice. Tidal currents greater than 7 knots were flowing through the pass. The water on the Pacific side was well mixed top to bottom as it traverses the channels and basins from the Pacific slope to the pass. On the Bering side, colder, more saline water came come up from the canyon on the ebb tide. On the flood tide the mixed water from the Pacific side pushed through the pass and caused downwelling into the canyon. A patch of high fluorescence water sat over the nose of the water coming up from the canyon, just seaward of the tidal front.

## Unimak Pass:

While in transit from Dutch Harbor to Slime Bank on 26 July, 5 CTD stations were taken along the $\sim 75 \mathrm{~m}$ deep sill at the north end on Unimak Pass during the flooding tide. Flow was northward over the center two-thirds of the section, while southward flow of warmer and fresher water from the Bering Sea was seen on both sides. Higher fluorescence was associated with the water coming in from the Bering Sea.

## Slime Bank:

On 27-28 July, we occupied the CTD grid at Slime Bank. The temperature and salinity sections showed continuously stratified water of the "oceanic regime" and little indication of the two-layered structure expected in the middle domain. A core of $4.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water was present below 60 m . Isotherms of $6-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the E and C lines (done first) were nearly horizontal intersected the bottom. Winds shifted to southeast by the time the A line was run. This line shows a down turning of the isotherms and a movement of the $4.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water farther offshore. A period of storm winds of up to 50 knots sustained winds followed, after which we reoccupied the E-line. Cooling of $\sim 0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the surface waters near shore was evident, as well as tightening of the isotherms into a more two-layered middle regime and downturning indicative of the location of the inner front at a bottom depth of $40-50 \mathrm{~m}$.

When we first arrived at Slime Bank, upwelling from Bering Canyon had likely disrupted the frontal structure, as evidenced by the shape of the isotherms and the colder temperatures. Wind mixing of the upper water column during the storm compressed the distance between isotherms at the thermocline. Downwelling pushed the colder waters offshore or down the canyon axis, and nearshore mixing reestablished a more typical inner front structure.

## Nelson Lagoon:

The B-line of 5 CTD stations was occupied at Nelson Lagoon on 30 August during which time winds were blowing offshore at 20-30 knots. The tide is strong this far up into Bristol Bay, and it changed directions during the time it took to occupy the line. The section for this line reflects the changing tide, indicating northeastward flow nearshore and southwestward flow offshore. The typical inner front structure did not appear although the inshore waters were more weakly stratified than offshore. Fresh water influence was found at the inshore end, where less saline, warmer water was associated with the highest fluorescence. Between stations NLB3 and NLB4, there was a zone of relatively warm, higher salinity water with very low fluorescence and high transmission (low extinction coefficient). This water appears to have been advected into the grid by tidal currents.

## Port Moller:

The Port Moller grid stations were occupied sequentially on 1-2 August. The inner front spread over a zone 20km wide centered at PMA04, PMC05, and PME05 at a bottom depth of $45-55 \mathrm{~m}$. A core of $3.5-4.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water was present at the outer edge below the thermocline. The two-layered structure of the middle domain was more strongly expressed here than at either Nelson Lagoon or Slime Bank, with a $2-4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ change in temperature across the thermocline. The effect of the storm before we arrived was to mix the upper layer to a depth of $12-23 \mathrm{~m}$. Highest fluorescence values were found seaward of the front on each of the lines. Stations closer to the beach had fresher ( $<30 \mathrm{psu}$ ), warmer ( $>10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) water typically associated with runoff from the land.

## Storm:

At the end of the Port Moller survey from August 2-5 there was another gale with sustained winds of 50 kts , and gusts over 60 kts . On the last day we transited to Cape Newenham to begin surveys there.

## Cape Newenham:

Extended versions of line C were occupied twice at Cape Newenham. The inshore extension was run separately, after the second time through the main line. We also did four CTD casts up the axis of the 50 m trough that runs perpendicular to the grid lines and at the nearshore end.

The first occupation of the C line occurred as the major storm was waning. We began this line near the shore at CNCX17 and extended to the NOAA/PMEL Mooring 2 site. Nearshore waters were well mixed top to bottom from CNCX17 to CNC01. In this distance, the temperature steadily declined from $>11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\sim 6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while salinity increased $\sim 2 \mathrm{psu}$. The nearshore freshwater signal shows the influence of the Kuskokwim River outflow, which originates $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 0}$ miles to the
northeast. The offshore bottom cold pool (defined by waters $>2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) had temperatures that were much more variable along the line than measured prior to this year. Lobes of cold pool water easily seen when the CNC line is overlapped by the WC line. The thermocline between the warmer surface layer and the bottom waters was located between 22 to 29 m and occurred over a 5-8m interval.

The inner front was clearly expressed with its leading edge between CNC01-CNC02. The distance between the leading edge of the front and the cold pool was 60 km . We observed a previously unidentified feature of the front consisting of a zone in which isotherms (here, $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) bow upward $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$ above the thermocline over the cold pool. This must be the area of active mixing or upwelling into the upper layer of the waters previously contained below the thermocline.

The other feature of great interest on this line was the coincidence of the coccolithophorid bloom in the surface waters of the middle domain. On August 6 the bloom was first observed visually near the beach at Cape Newenham, but then it nearly disappeared. Just beyond the leading edge of the inner front, the bloom reached its maximum fluorescence at CNC11-12, then declined farther offshore. The extinction coefficient showed a similar pattern to the fluorescence; both patterns were contained above the thermocline. The second occupation of the line on August 7-8 began at CNCX1 and was extended 20 km beyond Mooring 2, deeper into the Whale grid (WC5.5), which permitted us to define the seaward extent of the surface expression of the bloom. On this line, the patch of high fluorescence (fluorescence $>1.00 \mathrm{Volts}$ ) was split into two centers, separated by lower fluorescence water. The bloom width along this transect had expanded from 55 to 85 km .

An extra line of 4 CTD stations between CNCX11 andCNCx14 was done to sample the higher fluorescence zone seen on the inner portion of the line. A near bottom peak in fluorescence was once again seen, as it was on other cruises, just seaward of the 25 m depth ridge near CNCX12 and X13.

Four stations were taken parallel to the coast along the axis of a 45-50m trough to study the possibility that higher salinity water comes into the Cape Newenham nearshore region from the upper reaches of Bering Canyon. As we did this line, the tide turned to ebb, so fresher water from the Kuskokwim delta area to the north began to override the slightly cooler, saltier water in the trough. At the deepest station there was some indication that slightly higher salinity water might be coming into the trough near the bottom.

## Nunivak Island:

On our first transit of NIC-line the inner front was spread over a 30 km zone and its structure of diving isotherms was complicated by a narrow zone of cooler water (expressed by the $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm) at NIC06 rising $15-20 \mathrm{~m}$ above the thermocline before diving to the bottom. This feature was also expressed in lower sea surface temperatures than on either side of it. This cross-section of fluorescence showed a zone of lower values there, with regions of higher fluorescence both inshore and offshore of it. The coccolithophorid bloom was present throughout the entire area of the Nunivak grid, but the most intense regions were on either side of this feature. The inshore region of high fluorescence extended over the entire depth of the water column, while the offshore zone was confined to the waters above the thermocline. On the A-line the temperature difference between the cooler column and the water on either side of it was almost $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On a second but more detailed section along the central grid portion of C -line the feature had widened to $\sim 12 \mathrm{~km}$ expression above the thermocline from its $\sim 6 \mathrm{~km}$ width the first time. The feature was $\sim 9 \mathrm{~km}$ wide on A -line, and while its full width on the E -line was not well defined by the station spacing, the inshore half appears to be over 12 km wide. By August 15, the feature had a more complex structure with two wider humps, and the total width of the involved area was $25-30 \mathrm{~km}$. The temporal development of this feature could demonstrate the process by which overlapping tidal and wind mixing work to create waters of uniform temperature and salinity just inshore of the front. More likely, it could illustrate upwelling processes taking place in conjunction with surface wind mixing. Evidence for upwelling is the bowing upward of colder waters from below the thermocline.

Another phenomenon observed on the outer portions of these lines was the presence of warmer, higher salinity water ( $>33.9 \mathrm{psu}$ ) lapping up over the cold potions of the cold pool (<31.8psu) into the area just behind the inner front. This pattern was observed for several stations on both the A and C -lines. The evidence for this will become clearer after the salinity data have been de-spiked in processing.

The last run through the NIC line was extended to St. Paul Island. This section showed that the cool pool ( $\mathrm{T}<4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was approximately 150 km wide. However, the cold pool ( $<2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was separated into two sections, the western of which contained two separate areas of water $<1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This much variability in cold pool temperatures has not been reported previously.

## Pribilof Islands:

Two lines of four CTD stations each were occupied due east and due west from St. Paul Island, as well as another running between St. Paul and St. George

Island to the southeast. The cold pool, the two-layered structure of the middle domain, and the inner front were all well-defined on the eastern side, while on the western side, the waters sampled were continuously stratified, typical of oceanic structure, away from the nearshore mixed zone. The line between the islands showed a frontal structure on the St. Paul end, and otherwise was continuously stratified with higher salinity, colder water at the bottom on the south side.

## Pribilof Canyon:

Large numbers of birds were encountered as we crossed the center of the Pribilof Canyon, so a small CTD survey was done first back to the northeastern rim and then south across the width of the south lobe. Fluorescence was highest in the center of the canyon where isopycnals were bowed upwards, indicating a clockwise eddy within the canyon. On the north side an upwelling of colder water from the canyon onto the northeastern shelf region is also indicated.

## NUTRIENTS, PIGMENTS and N-15 EXPERIMENTS: Dean Stockwell, T. Rho, and Heloise Chenelot

Nutrient samples were analyzed for nitrate, silicate, phosphate, nitrite and ammonium concentrations using an Alpkem model 300 segmented flow analyzer. Samples were collected on each grid at a total of 1300 depths. A cursory analysis of the plotted transects of nutrients are given below.

## Slime Bank:

The C-line transect was somewhat stratified by temperature offshore and lower salinity inshore. As a result there was a relatively strong vertical gradient of nitrate, silicate and ammonium. The surface layer was depleted of nitrate across the entire transect while silicate and phosphate were only depleted inshore in the relatively low salinity waters of unknown source. Fluorescence indicates that most of the chlorophyll resides in the upper 10 m across the entire transect.

## Port Moller:

The C-line transect had stronger vertical temperature and horizontal salinity gradients compared to Slime Bank. The warmer surface temperatures and inshore low salinity waters produced about three times as strong of density gradient. The resulting nutrient concentrations were very low for nitrate and silicate throughout the entire water column while chlorophyll fluorescence was high. The productivity depleted the water column of nutrients and the lack of bottom water exchange combined with the relatively large influx of low salinity water probably prevents nutrient renewal.

## Cape Newenham:

The C-line transect presents the classic picture of the stratified middle shelf and unstratified inner shelf separated by the inner front at approximately the 50 m isobath. The nitrate, silicate and phosphate were depleted in the euphotic zone of the middle shelf and was depleted to the bottom in the inner shelf. The bottom layer of the middle shelf contains high concentrations of all nutrients. Ammonium concentrations were relatively large over the entire transect but were twice as large in the middle shelf bottom water. The fluorescence distributions indicate that significant chlorophyll biomass accumulated across the shelf but there were maxima observed at the surface on both sides of the inner front.

## Nunivak Island:

The C-line displayed the classic picture of the stratified middle shelf and unstratified inner shelf waters. The inner frontal region is clearly delineated by temperature and density distributions. The nitrate, silicate and phosphate were depleted in the upper 20 m of the middle shelf while ammonium was present to about $2 \mu \mathrm{~mole} / l$. The inner shelf was completed exhausted of nitrate while silicate was depleted to the bottom for about 20-30 km inshore of the inner front. The inner 100 km of shelf contained low but significant concentrations of ammonium, silicate and phosphate. The most interesting aspect of the transect occurs at the boundary of the stratified-unstratified water column. The vertical mixing on the inside edge of the inner front distributed $4 \mu \mathrm{~mole} / I$ nitrate, $6 \mu \mathrm{~mole} / \mathrm{I}$ silicate, $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~mole} / l$ phosphate and $9 \mu \mathrm{~mole} / \mathrm{l}$ ammonium over the entire water column. This enrichment produced approximately a doubling of chlorophyll on the middle shelf side of the front. This process is analogous to the erosion of the pycnocline by summer storms which have been described to maintain the summer phytoplankton populations over the middle shelf.

## North St. Paul:

The transect crossed two lobes of the cold pool as evidenced by the low temperatures. The nutrient concentrations in surface were depleted in the upper 10-15 m and concentrations in the bottom waters were very high. The highest nutrients in bottom waters did not correlate with the distribution of physical variables so biological remineralization is a probable source of the high nutrient concentrations.

## East St. Paul:

The transect contained some aspects of upwelling over the inner 20 km of the transect as shown by temperature, salinity and density. Likewise, small amounts of upwelling were observed in the nitrate, ammonium and phosphate distributions. Unfortunately, fluorescence data are not available to ascertain the chlorophyll distributions.

## West St. Paul:

The transect had relatively large concentrations of all nutrients near the bottom. This transect has contained large concentrations in the past which were
attributed to onshore transport near the bottom. The surface water was depleted of nitrate over most of the transect while the other nutrients were present in sufficient quantities to support active phytoplankton growth.

## Whale Grid:

The C-line transect was positioned mostly over the stratified middle shelf and crossed two lobes of the relict cold pool. The nitrate, silicate and phosphate distributions show quite clearly the boundary of stratified waters where depleted waters reach the bottom.

## N -15 Experiments:

Nutrient uptake studies using $\mathrm{N}-15$ and $\mathrm{C}-13$ isotopes were undertaken on each study grid at 16 productivity stations for a total of 280 samples. The samples were dried and prepared for analysis at the UAF mass spectrometry laboratory.

## Nutrient Amendment Studies:

Seven nutrient amendment studies were completed to assess potential nutrient limitation on chlorophyll production. A preliminary examination of the data indicates that both nitrate and ammonium stimulated growth while phosphate, silicate, trace metals and iron additions had no effect.

## Plant Pigments:

Chlorophyll samples were collected at 560 depths on hydrography stations and 96 depths on productivity stations.

## PRIMARY PRODUCTION: Steve Zeeman and Edward Rodowicz

Primary production versus light intensity (P-I) was measured at 21 stations and included 17 stations where in situ measurements were made. Deck incubations were made on water from 10-25 m in depth, for the purpose of determining the P-I relationships. In situ production was measured at 4 depths, generally $0,10,20$ and 30 m .

Production was highest at Slime Bank where maximum in situ measurements were about $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and at St. Paul Island which was about $1.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The values at Nunivak Island were also fairly high at about $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. At the other two grids maximum values were in the range of $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The P-I curves showed relatively low maximum production per unit chlorophyll, the highest being around $0.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mg}^{-1} \mathrm{Chl}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-}$
${ }^{1}$ at SBE04. The others were lower, ranging from about 0.07 to $0.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{Chl}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$.

On each grid and transects to St. Paul, St. George and off St. George we collected a suite of samples for chlorophyll extraction, Lugol's preserved samples, dried filter preserved samples, and CDOM. These were taken at four depths: $0,10,20$, and 30 m .

Chlorophyll extractions averaged $2.22 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Chl} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, with a standard deviation of $1.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Chl} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, a maximum of $8.66 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Chl} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and a minimum of $0.03 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Chl} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The highest concentrations were at Nunivak Island, in the midst of the coccolithophore bloom. The bloom itself was present throughout most of the Cape Newenham grid and all of the Nunivak Island grid. High values (between 4 and $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Chl} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ) were also found Slime Bank and Cape Newenham.

The spectroradiometer (TSRB) deployments showed clear and distinct signatures for waters that were dominated by coccolithophorids and those that were not. The peak was shifted from the blue toward the red end of the spectrum in the coccolithophorid bloom.

Preserved samples will be counted when back at the laboratory in Maine.
$1049{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ samples processed.
In situ production measured at 4 depths at each of 17 stations.
P -I measurements made at 21 stations.
281 chlorophyll samples extracted
192 CDOM samples collected
281 Lugol's preserved phytoplankton samples
281 Dry filter preserved phytoplankton samples
6 sets of radiance and irradiance measurements made with the Satlantic TSRB

## ZOOPLANKTON: Kenneth O Coyle and Alexei Pinchuk

The initial goal of the Inner Front project was to document the effects of physical oceanographic processes on ecological conditions at the Inner Front in the southeastern Bering Sea. We sought to test the hypothesis that physical conditions at the inner front result in elevated phytoplankton production during summer and the additional production is transferred through the food web to apex predators. Short-tailed shearwaters served as the apex predator for this study. Since shearwaters feed primarily on euphausiids, the major goal of the zooplankton component was to document the abundance and distribution of the euphausiid prey relative to the front.

During years 1 and 2 of this study, unusual climatic conditions resulted in substantial disruption of physical structure at the Inner Front. In 1997, nutrient concentrations were unusually low, a dense coccolithophorid bloom was observed in the fall and a massive die off of shearwaters occurred. The coccolithophorid bloom persisted in 1998 and shearwater condition remained low. Comparison of zooplankton data from the Inner Front sampling grids with PROBES data from 1980 indicated that euphausiid abundance had declined by 1-2 orders of magnitude and copepod abundance was elevated by roughly the same amount during 1997 and 1998. The above anomalies are thought to be related to a climate-driven regime shift underway in the Bering Sea.

The major goal of the zooplankton component in 1999 was to determine the abundance and distribution of major zooplankton species on the inner shelf of the southeastern Bering Sea relative to anomalous conditions associated with the hypothesized regime shift. Since zooplankton are a major component of the pelagic food web, information on their distribution and abundance is central to understanding the mechanisms by which the climatic and physical oceanographic conditions impact fisheries and wildlife resources during the regime shift.

Although interpretation of the zooplankton data relative to climatic conditions is a major goal of the zooplankton component, information on zooplankton distribution and abundance relative to the position of the inner front was also sought. The inner front was well developed at Port Moller, Cape Newenham Nunivak Island during the July-August cruise. We therefore collected samples in the stratified, unstratified and frontal regions to document any consistent patterns of zooplankton distribution and abundance relative to the frontal regions.

In addition to the Inner Front zooplankton collections, information on zooplankton abundance and distribution in regions of whale foraging was sought. Unusually high densities of foraging whales have recently been reported in the Bristol Bay region and information on the density, horizontal and vertical distribution of potential whale forage may aid in interpreting the ecological reasons for the shift in the distribution of foraging whales. The specific techniques for sample collection are outlined below. The sampling effort for each region is summarized in the tables that follow.

Large zooplankton species were sampled with a 1-m MOCNESS equipped with 0.5 mm mesh nets. Samples were taken at night from 5 m above the bottom to the surface. Fifty MOCNESS tows were collected. Small zooplankton were sampled with a 25 cm CaIVET with 0.15 mm mesh nets. Vertical tows from the bottom to the surface were taken with the CaIVET at stations along the c line in the four sampling grids, in the whale grid and near the Pribilof Islands. Volume filtered was estimated with GO flowmeters. Seventy five

CaIVET samples were collected. The samples were preserved in formalin and shipped to Fairbanks for processing. Two hundred samples of individual taxa were sorted from the MOCNESS tows, dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and returned to Fairbanks for stable isotope analysis. Acoustic data were collected in the sampling grids as summarized in the tables below. The data were collected using a Hydroacoustics Technology model 244 multifrequency echosounder. The system includes four transducers: $43 \mathrm{kHz} 6^{\circ}$ split beam, $120 \mathrm{kHz} 6^{\circ}$ split beam, $200 \mathrm{kHz} 3^{\circ}$ split beam and $420 \mathrm{kHz} 6^{\circ}$ single beam. The acoustic array was towed at 6 knts beside the vessel at about 2-3 m depth. Volume scattering was measured at the four frequencies in 15 second intervals and 1-m depth increments along the transects. The split beam transducers also record the target strength of discrete targets. In addition to transect data, acoustic data were collected concurrently with the MOCNESS tows. The MOCNESS data can therefore be used to scale the acoustic data.

Preliminary observations indicate that the zooplankton in the Whale Grid was dominated by Calanus marshallae stage V and pollock. Samples from the near-shore mixed regime at Cape Newenham and Nunivak Island were dominated by mysids, crangonid shrimp and sand lance. Samples from the frontal zones and stratified regions were dominated by Thysanoessa raschii and pollock. Samples from all other grids included fish (predominantly pollock with some sand lance) and euphausiids (predominantly Thysanoessa raschii adults and juveniles).

Acoustical transects taken during Alpha Helix cruise HX222

| File Name | Transect | Date | Length |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| whale | Cape Newenham s whale <br> line | 22-July | 115 km |
| Akutan | Akutan Pass | 25-July | 1.6 km |
| slmbe | Slime Bank e line | 27-July | 45 km |
| sImba | Slime Bank a line | 28-July | 45 km |
| sImbc | Slime Bank c line | 29-July | 40 km |
| pmle | Port Moller e line | 31-July | 50 km |
| pmlc | Port Moller c line | 31-July | 50 km |
| pmla | Port Moller a line | 31-July | 50 km |
| cpnmc | Cape Newenham c line | 6-August | 140 km |
| cpnmw | Cape Newenham c whale <br> line | 8-August | 35 km |
| cpwn | Cape Newenham n whale <br> line | 8-August | 35 km |
| cpnmcx | Cape Newenham cx line | 10-August | 73 km |
| nvkc | Nunivak Island c line | 12-August | 145 km |
| nvkcx | Nunivak Island cx line | 13-August | 70 km |
| stple | St. Paul Island east | 16-August | 55 km |
| stplw | St. Paul Island west | 16-August | 38 km |
| Akutan2 | Akutan Pass | 18-August | 16 km |

CaIVET net samples taken during Alpha Helix cruise HX222

| CaIVET sample numbers | Region | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CalVET 1-4 | Whale Grid c line | 21-July |
| CalVET5-15 | Slime Bank c line | 27-July |
| CaIVET16-26 | Port Moller c line | 1-August |
| CaIVET17-48 | Cape Newenham c line | 5-6 August |
| CaIVET49-63 | Nunivak Island c line | 11-12 August |
| CalVET64-67 | St. Paul e line | 16-August |
| CaIVET68-71 | St. Paul w line | $16-17$ August |
| CaIVET72-75 | St. Paul-St. George Line | 17-August |

MOCNESS samples taken during Alpha Helix cruise HX222

| MOCNESS sample <br> numbers | Region | Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MOCNESS 1-7 | Whale grid | $22-23$ July |
| MOCNESS 8 | Akutan Pass | 25 July |
| MOCNESS 9-15 | Slime Bank | $27-29$ July |
| MOCNESS 16-24 | Port Moller | 31 July - 2 August |
| MOCNESS 25-37 | Cape Newenham | $6-10$ August |
| MOCNESS 38-50 | Nunivak Island | 12-15 August |

## MICRO ZOOPLANKTON GRAZING: M. Brady Olson

The purpose of our lab attending Alpha Helix cruise 222 was to establish phytoplankton growth rates and mortality due to microzooplankton grazing across 3 size classes of phytoplankton. In addition, we had specific interests in the ability of microzooplankton to graze on the coccolithophorid Emeliania huxleyi, which has shown spatial and temporal persistence in recent years. Grazing experiments were conducted at 18 different locations, 10 of which were associated with the coccolithophorid bloom. In addition to grazing experiments, water was collected at the same stations to analyze microplankton community
composition, particulate DMSP, dissolved DMSP and DMSP lyase activity. E. huxleyi is known to produce DMSP, and we wished to test the hypothesis that it may serve as a grazing suppressant.

Calvet tows were done at the same locations as grazing experiments to determine macrozooplankton grazing rates and to identify what taxa of phytoplankton macrozooplankton are removing. HPLC analysis of gut pigments will help determine this.

Dilution Experiments were done at the following locations:
WC07, WN02, SBE10, SBE04, PMC11, PMC01, CNC07, CNC11, M2, CNCX02, CNC13, NICX8, NIC13, NIC04, NICX15, NP04, SPW04, SPG03

MARINE BIRDS: George Hunt, Lucy Vlietstra, Jaime Jahnke, Carolina Pickens
To determine the role of physical-biological coupling in the transfer of energy to upper trophic levels, we documented the distribution and abundance of foraging short-tailed shearwaters (Puffinus tenuirostris) and their diets within the inner portion of the southeastern Bering Sea shelf. The objectives of the ornithological portion of this study were:
1)-To determine the abundance and foraging patterns of shorttailed shearwaters relative to the structural inner front located within each of the study areas
2)-To determine the diet composition of foraging shearwaters relative to prey abundance and availability
3)-To collect information on stable isotope ratios and fatty acid composition relative to trophic structure and long-term diet trends of short-tailed shearwaters in the eastern Bering Sea.

Bird observations were made when the ship was underway at speeds of 5 knots or greater. All birds within a 300 m arc $90^{\circ}$ from the bow to the side with the best visibility were counted from the bridge and were recorded on a laptop computer for later analysis. Behaviors of all birds were recorded, with particular attention paid to whether shearwaters were feeding at the surface by hydroplaning or were diving deeply.

On this cruise we conducted $4,220 \mathrm{~km}$ of surveys during which we documented the distribution and behaviors of 106,573 marine birds. We collected a total of 71 birds for diet analyses, of which 61 were short-tailed shearwaters, 5 were northern fulmars, and 5 were fork-tailed storm-petrels. Samples of pectoralis muscle and liver were obtained from 51 shearwaters for stable isotope analyses, and samples of fat from 51 shearwaters were collected
for fatty acid analyses of diets. Samples of several prey species were collected to supplement our library of prey for both stable isotope analyses and for fatty acid analyses.

In general, short-tailed shearwaters were scarce in our primary study areas. Shearwaters were largely absent from the grids and lines along the north side of the Alaska Peninsula, a pattern similar to that in the historical data for this region in mid to late summer. Low numbers were found foraging near the outer end and at the inner portion of the Slime Bank grid and in the inner portion of the Port Moller grid. At Slime Bank, the shearwaters were foraging at the outer end of the grid were in mixed-species flocks with fulmars and were taking juvenile gaddids. At Port Moller, the shearwaters were in mixed flocks with black-legged kittiwakes, fulmars, arctic terns and common murres and were taking primarily sandlance.

At both the Cape Newenham and at Nunivak Island grids, the coccolithophorid bloom covered much of each grid. Shearwaters and other species were generally scarce in bloom-covered waters. At Cape Newenham, most shearwaters and numerous murrelets and murres were concentrated just inshore of the bloom where a layer of acoustic biomass containing fish and euphausiids came to the surface. Of the 12 shearwaters collected in this region, 6 had no food in their proventriculus and the others had remains of juvenile fish. At Nunivak Island, we observed foraging shearwaters on only two instances; once inshore of the main grid where the one bird collected had two euphausiids in its proventriculus, and once near the outer end of the A-line where 11 of 12 birds collected from two flocks had juvenile fish, most likely gaddids.

CTD Lines Occupied During July-August, 1999

| Line Name | Station IDs | Station Nos. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whale Grid |  |  |  |
| WC | WC7-1, 0(CNC10) | 3, 5-11 |  |
| WN | WN1-10 | 14-23 | Fin whales at WN4 |
| Aleutian Passes and Bird Study |  |  |  |
| AP | AP1-5 | 26-30 | Birds in shear zone near Akutan Pass |
| AP1 or (BC) | AP11-16 | 31-36 | Akutan Pass to axis of Bering Canyon "late flood" |
| AP2 | AP11-13 | 37-39 | Akutan Pass to Bering Sea slope "early flood" |
| UP | UP1-6 | 40-45 | Along sill at north end of Unimak Pass |
| AP3 | AP20-31 | 311-322 | From Bering Sea to Pacific side against flood tide |
| AP4 | AP31-28, AP26-19 | 324-335 | From Pacific side to Bering Sea against ebb tide |
| APTS | AP23.5 | 336-349 | Time series at one station near fluorescence max |
| Slime Bank Grid |  |  |  |
| SBE | SBE10, 08, 06, 04, 03, 02, 01 | 49-56 | Before Storm |
| SBC | SBC1-11 | 57-67 |  |
| SBA | SBA10, 08, 06, 05, 04, 02 | 68-73 |  |
| SBE | SBE02-06, 08 | 81-86 | After a storm |
| Nelson Lagoon |  |  |  |
| NLB | NLB01-05 | 87-92 |  |
| Port Moller |  |  |  |
| PME | PME12-01 | 97-108 |  |
| PMC | PMC01-11 | 112-122 |  |
| PMA | PMA11, 10, 08, 06, 05, 04, 02 | 123-129 |  |
| MAJOR STORM |  |  |  |
| Cape Newenham Grid |  |  |  |
| CNC(1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CNCX17-X10, X8, X5, X3, X1, } \\ & \text { CNC01, 02, 04, 06-10, 12-16, M2 } \end{aligned}$ | 133-144, 146-157 |  |
| CNC(2) | CNCX1, CNC01, 02, 04, 06, 07, 08 (break) CNC08, 10-16, M2, WC5.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163-169, } \\ & \text { 174-181, 183-184 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| CNC-X line | CNCX11-X14 | 192-194, 196 | Zeeman's Inshore <br> "Green Zone" |
| NT | NT2-5 | 197-200 | "Newenham inshore trough" |

CTD Lines Occupied During July-August, 1999 (continued)

| Line Name | Station IDs | Station Nos. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunivak Is. Grid |  |  |  |
| NIC(1) | NICX15, X13, X11, X8, X4, NIC01, 03, 05-09, 11, 13-16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 201, 210, 212-215, 217, } \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| NIC-X line | NICX17, X16, X15 | 224-226 |  |
| NIC(2) | NIC02, 2.5, 03, 3.5, 04, 4.5, 05-08 | 230-239 | Extra detail of Inner Front structure |
| NIA | NIA2-8 (break), NIA08,09, 11, 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 240-246, \text { (break) 248-250, } \\ & 252-253 \end{aligned}$ | Break for foraging birds |
| NIE | NIE13, 11, 9, 07-02 | 254-261 |  |
| NIC(3) | NICX8, X5X, X2, NIC01-08, (break) 10, 11, 13, 14, 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 262-272, \\ & 277-281 \end{aligned}$ | This continues to St . Paul as the NP line. |
| NP | NP1-6 | 282, 284-288 | From end of Nunivak NIC line to St. Paul Is. |
| Pribilof Islands |  |  |  |
| SPE | SPE4-1 | 290-293 | East of St.Paul Is. |
| SPW | SPW4-1 | 294-297 | West of St. Paul Is. |
| SPG | SPG1-4 | 298-301 | From St. Paul Is. to St George Is. |
| Pribilof Canyon |  |  |  |
| PC1 | PC1-4 | 302, 304-306 | South lobe of Pribilof canyon, from midpoint to north rim |
| PC2 | PC4-PC8 | 306-310 | N -S line across south lobe of Pribilof Canyon |

Table of all CTD casts

| CTD Sta. | Station Name | Date(GMT) | Time(GMT) | Date(ADT) | Time(ADT) | $\operatorname{lat}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | long( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | depth | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | RES2.5 | 7/18/99 | 19:19:21 | 7/18/99 | 11:19:21 | 60.0251 | 149.3563 | 295 |  |
| 2 | GA2K1 | 7/18/99 | 20:45:38 | 7/18/99 | 12:45:38 | 59.8453 | 149.466 | 270 |  |
| 3 | WC7 | 7/22/99 | 1:35:25 | 7/21/99 | 17:35:25 | 56.3453 | 164.5666 | 89 |  |
| 4 | WC7 | 7/22/99 | 1:58:37 | 7/21/99 | 17:58:37 | 56.3466 | 164.5628 | 89 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 5 | WC6 | 7/22/99 | 3:14:59 | 7/21/99 | 19:14:59 | 56.4986 | 164.4118 | 81 |  |
| 6 | WC5 | 7/22/99 | 4:31:27 | 7/21/99 | 20:31:27 | 56.652 | 164.2465 | 75 |  |
| 7 | WC4 | 7/22/99 | 5:55:41 | 7/21/99 | 21:55:41 | 56.8051 | 164.0806 | 73 |  |
| 8 | WC3 | 7/22/99 | 7:07:58 | 7/21/99 | 23:07:58 | 56.9549 | 163.9169 | 70 |  |
| 9 | WC2 | 7/22/99 | 9:48:52 | 7/22/99 | 1:48:52 | 57.1111 | 163.7502 | 67 |  |
| 10 | WC1 | 7/22/99 | 11:01:57 | 7/22/99 | 3:01:57 | 57.2658 | 163.5848 | 62 |  |
| 11 | WCO- | 7/22/99 | 11:52:31 | 7/22/99 | 3:52:31 | 57.3441 | 163.5005 | 55 |  |
| 12 | CNC17 | 7/23/99 | 12:17:36 | 7/23/99 | 4:17:36 | 56.8092 | 163.9931 | 74 |  |
| 13 | WN1 | 7/23/99 | 15:48:30 | 7/23/99 | 7:48:30 | 57.364 | 163.9722 | 61 |  |
| 14 | WN2 | 7/23/99 | 17:08:26 | 7/23/99 | 9:08:26 | 57.2196 | 164.1427 | 67 |  |
| 15 | WN2 | 7/23/99 | 17:31:00 | 7/23/99 | 9:31:00 | 57.2204 | 164.1417 | 67 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 16 | WN3 | 7/23/99 | 18:46:17 | 7/23/99 | 10:46:17 | 57.0756 | 164.3148 | 69 |  |
| 17 | WN4 | 7/23/99 | 20:31:29 | 7/23/99 | 12:31:29 | 56.9322 | 164.486 | 71 |  |
| 18 | WN5 | 7/24/99 | 1:14:12 | 7/23/99 | 17:14:12 | 56.7892 | 164.655 | 76 |  |
| 19 | WN6 | 7/24/99 | 3:05:26 | 7/23/99 | 19:05:26 | 56.644 | 164.8265 | 77 |  |
| 20 | WN7 | 7/24/99 | 4:25:31 | 7/23/99 | 20:25:31 | 56.4995 | 164.9977 | 81 |  |
| 21 | WN8 | 7/24/99 | 6:18:21 | 7/23/99 | 22:18:21 | 56.3563 | 165.1704 | 87 |  |
| 22 | WN9 | 7/24/99 | 7:34:40 | 7/23/99 | 23:34:40 | 56.2116 | 165.3403 | 94 |  |
| 23 | WN10 | 7/24/99 | 8:58:54 | 7/24/99 | 0:58:54 | 56.0683 | 165.5092 | 98 |  |
| 24 | DUTCH | 7/24/99 | 23:29:43 | 7/24/99 | 15:29:43 | 53.9822 | 166.4768 | 114 | CTD test |
| 25 | DUTCH | 7/24/99 | 23:49:34 | 7/24/99 | 15:49:34 | 53.9786 | 166.4783 | 111 | CTD test |
| 26 | AP1 | 7/25/99 | 23:18:32 | 7/25/99 | 15:18:32 | 54.0868 | 166.3648 | 90 |  |
| 27 | AP2 | 7/25/99 | 23:35:02 | 7/25/99 | 15:35:02 | 54.0823 | 166.3678 | 90 |  |
| 28 | AP3 | 7/25/99 | 23:49:56 | 7125/99 | 15:49:56 | 54.0771 | 166.3729 | 89 |  |
| 29 | AP4 | 7/26/99 | 0:05:50 | 7/25/99 | 16:05:50 | 54.0726 | 166.3769 | 86 |  |
| 30 | AP5 | 7/26/99 | 0:20:19 | 7/25/99 | 16:20:19 | 54.0693 | 166.3805 | 83 |  |
| 31 | AP11 | 7/26/99 | 4:43:40 | 7/25/99 | 20:43:40 | 54.0373 | 166.1513 | 80 | medium sensitive cable for fluormeter now in use |



| 68 | SBA10 | 7/28/99 | 8:59:35 | 7/28/99 | 0:59:35 | 55.5095 | 163.9858 | 93 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | SBA08 | 7/28/99 | 9:46:56 | 7/28/99 | 1:46:56 | 55.4272 | 163.9176 | 90 |  |
| 70 | SBA06 | 7/28/99 | 10:31:09 | 7/28/99 | 2:31:09 | 55.3461 | 163.851 | 72 |  |
| 71 | SBA05 | 7/28/99 | 10:58:34 | 7/28/99 | 2:58:34 | 55.3052 | 163.8174 | 65 |  |
| 72 | SBA04 | 7/28/99 | 11:24:38 | 7/28/99 | 3:24:38 | 55.2648 | 163.7838 | 58 |  |
| 73 | SBA02 | 7/28/99 | 12:08:25 | 7/28/99 | 4:08:25 | 55.1758 | 163.7172 | 44 |  |
| 74 | SBA01 | 7/28/99 | 22:51:30 | 7/28/99 | 14:51:30 | 55.1431 | 163.6883 | 41 | Zeeman's Prod Cast |
| 75 | SBA01 | 7/28/99 | 23:24:04 | 7/28/99 | 15:24:04 | 55.142 | 163.6837 | 40 | Rho's Prod cast |
| 76 | SBC05 | 7/29/99 | 21:01:26 | 7/29/99 | 13:01:26 | 55.2586 | 163.9912 | 58 | Prod cast |
| 77 | SBC05 | 7/29/99 | 21:35:27 | 7/29/99 | 13:35:27 | 55.2582 | 163.9926 | 58 | Rho's Prod |
| 78 | SBC05 | 7/29/99 | 22:07:04 | 7/29/99 | 14:07:04 | 55.2587 | 163.9899 | 58 | Dean's cast |
| 79 | SBE04 | 7/29/99 | 23:43:47 | 7/29/99 | 15:43:47 | 55.1723 | 164.1245 | 53 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 80 | SBE04 | 7/30/99 | 0:21:45 | 7/29/99 | 16:21:45 | 55.1735 | 164.1251 | 53 | Zeeman's Prod Cast |
| 81 | SBE02 | 7/30/99 | 2:36:52 | 7/29/99 | 18:36:52 | 55.0927 | 164.0569 | 42 |  |
| 82 | SBE03 | 7/30/99 | 3:03:18 | 7/29/99 | 19:03:18 | 55.1331 | 164.0916 | 44 |  |
| 83 | SBE04 | 7/30/99 | 3:31:09 | 7/29/99 | 19:31:09 | 55.1736 | 164.1276 | 56 |  |
| 84 | SBE05 | 7/30/99 | 4:01:20 | 7/29/99 | 20:01:20 | 55.2143 | 164.1615 | 65 |  |
| 85 | SBE06 | 7/30/99 | 4:31:01 | 7/29/99 | 20:31:01 | 55.2564 | 164.1947 | 77 |  |
| 86 | SBE08 | 7/30/99 | 5:14:57 | 7/29/99 | 21:14:57 | 55.3366 | 164.2619 | 99 |  |
| 87 | NLB01 | 7/30/99 | 20:55:09 | 7/30/99 | 12:55:09 | 56.0789 | 161.017 | 24 |  |
| 88 | NLB02 | 7/30/99 | 21:28:16 | 7/30/99 | 13:28:16 | 56.142 | 161.1029 | 36 |  |
| 89 | NLB03 | 7/30/99 | 22:02:03 | 7/30/99 | 14:02:03 | 56.2052 | 161.1877 | 46 |  |
| 90 | NLB04 | 7/30/99 | 22:37:08 | 7/30/99 | 14:37:08 | 56 | 162 | 49 |  |
| 91 | NLB05 | 7/30/99 | 23:10:53 | 7/30/99 | 15:10:53 | 56.3317 | 161.3587 | 63 |  |
| 92 | NLB05 | 7/30/99 | 23:18:19 | 7/30/99 | 15:18:19 | 56.3337 | 161.361 | 63 |  |
| 93 | PMC11 | 7/31/99 | 20:29:57 | 7/31/99 | 12:29:57 | 56.8698 | 160.521 | 69 | Zeeman's Prod Cast |
| 94 | PMC11 | 7/31/99 | 21:00:21 | 7/31/99 | 13:00:21 | 56.8692 | 160.5177 | 69 | Rho's prod cast |
| 95 | PMC11 | 7/31/99 | 21:25:31 | 7/31/99 | 13:25:31 | 56.8691 | 160.5205 | 69 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 96 | PMC11 | 7/31/99 | 21:44:06 | 7/31/99 | 13:44:06 | 56.8687 | 160.5162 | 69 | Dean's cast |
| 97 | PME12 | 8/1/99 | 15:08:15 | 8/1/99 | 7:08:15 | 56.8336 | 160.7221 | 69 |  |
| 98 | PME11 | 8/1/99 | 15:35:41 | 8/1/99 | 7:35:41 | 56.7998 | 160.6719 | 68 |  |
| 99 | PME10 | 8/1/99 | 16:01:58 | 8/1/99 | 8:01:58 | 56.7649 | 160.6161 | 70 |  |
| 100 | PME09 | 8/1/99 | 16:31:41 | 8/1/99 | 8:31:41 | 56.731 | 160.5647 | 69 |  |
| 101 | PME08 | 8/1/99 | 16:56:55 | 8/1/99 | 8:56:55 | 56.6959 | 160.5118 | 68 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | N | $\bigcirc$ | m | N | N | $\cdots$ | N |  | $\cdots$ | $\mathfrak{N}$ | $\sqrt{m}$ | 寸 | ＋ | 안 | $\bar{\sigma}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | 0 |  | $\hat{o}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | \＆ | in | N | 안 | 0 | N | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | 10 | $\stackrel{4}{0}$ | 寸 |  |  | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { So } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { jo } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sim} \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \text { on } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\bar{N}$ 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & N \\ & N \\ & \hline \mathbf{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \stackrel{n}{m} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 8 \\ c \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }_{N}^{N} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 8 8 8 0 $i$ $n$ 7 | 艮 | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \bar{o} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \dot{\sigma} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\{\left.\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{c} \\ \frac{2}{m} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & { }_{2} \\ & N_{1} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | （1） |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & n \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left(\begin{array}{l} n \\ n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \overline{0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \bar{n} \\ N \\ n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{N}$ 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ 0 0 0 0 0 $i$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\infty & \infty \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \bar{N} \\ \hline 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \substack{0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{C}} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & N \\ & N \\ & 0 \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{N}{\dot{\alpha}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ m \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \dot{\sim} \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \underset{i}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \hat{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \underset{o}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\dot{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \dot{n} \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{n}}$ |  | ¢ |
| $\frac{g}{\frac{g}{\infty}}$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | $\mathfrak{o}$ | $0 \begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{9} \\ & \frac{1}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\frac{8}{8}$ | $\frac{8}{\frac{8}{\infty}}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 9 \\ \frac{9}{9} \\ \frac{8}{\infty} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \frac{8}{\frac{8}{5}}\right.$ | 9 <br> $\stackrel{9}{5}$ | $\frac{8}{\frac{8}{\infty}}$ |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\circ}{9}}{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{8}{5}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\infty}\left\|\frac{9}{\infty}\right\| \stackrel{\circ}{i}$ |  | $\frac{9}{9}$ | $\mathfrak{o}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 9 \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{N} \\ & \frac{N}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{9} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g \\ & \frac{g}{n} \\ & \frac{10}{2} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{n} \\ & \frac{\omega}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \\ & n \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{N}{n} \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { q} \\ \underset{\sim}{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ Ni in |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \underset{9}{n} \\ \dot{0} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \stackrel{i}{c} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{e} \\ \dot{e} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\square}$ |  | $\mathfrak{l}$ | $\frac{9}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{9} \\ & \frac{9}{\infty} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 启 | $\frac{9}{\frac{8}{\infty}}$ |  | $\left\|\frac{9}{9}\right\|$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ |  | $\frac{8}{\frac{9}{\infty}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \frac{o}{2} \\ \underset{\infty}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{8}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \frac{8}{2} \\ \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 9 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{D} \\ \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{g}{2} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \frac{N}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \frac{g}{N} \\ & \frac{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \frac{5}{n} \\ & \frac{n}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \frac{9}{9} \\ & \frac{10}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{n}{\infty} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | （1） |
| $\sum_{0}^{N}$ | $\sum_{i}^{\infty}$ | $\sum_{0}^{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { 른 }}$ | $\sum_{n}^{n}$ | $\sum_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\sum_{0}^{\infty}$ | $\sum_{i}^{\overline{8}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{\overline{8}}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \overline{8} \\ \sum_{0} \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \sum \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $N$ $i$ $i$ $i$ $i$ |  | $\pm$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 | $n$ 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{a}^{\circ}$ | $\sum_{i}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{n}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | N 2 2 2 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{x} \\ & \underset{O}{\mathbf{O}} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\frac{1}{x}$ $\vdots$ $\mathbf{U}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \frac{n}{x} \\ & \mathbf{u} \\ & \mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |
| 잔 | 응 | O | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 안 | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | 응 | 읃 | $\stackrel{?}{7}$ | $\bar{F}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{m}{7}$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\tau}$ |  |  | $\underset{F}{F}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 앆 | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{2}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | － | N |


| 138 | CNCX12 | 8/5/99 | 20:27:51 | 8/5/99 | 12:27:51 | 58.2365 | 162.5412 | 36 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139 | CNCX11 | 8/5/99 | 21:21:40 | 8/5/99 | 13:21:40 | 58.1588 | 162.6228 | 38 |  |
| 140 | CNCX10 | 8/5/99 | 22:16:51 | 8/5/99 | 14:16:51 | 58.0812 | 162.7058 | 37 |  |
| 141 | CNCX08 | 8/5/99 | 23:11:43 | 8/5/99 | 15:11:43 | 58.0035 | 162.7882 | 41 |  |
| 142 | CNCX05 | 8/6/99 | 0:18:41 | 8/5/99 | 16:18:41 | 57.8865 | 162.9127 | 45 |  |
| 143 | CNCX03 | 8/6/99 | 1:11:55 | 8/5/99 | 17:11:55 | 57.8102 | 162.9974 | 45 |  |
| 144 | CNCX01 | 8/6/99 | 2:03:23 | 8/5/99 | 18:03:23 | 57.7294 | 163.081 | 45 |  |
| 145 | CNCO2 | 8/6/99 | 2:55:33 | 8/5/99 | 18:55:33 | 57.6493 | 163.1624 | 47 |  |
| 146 | CNC04 | 8/6/99 | 4:59:46 | 8/5/99 | 20:59:46 | 57.5747 | 163.2483 | 48 |  |
| 147 | CNC06 | 8/6/99 | 5:53:50 | 8/5/99 | 21:53:50 | 57.4979 | 163.3319 | 49 |  |
| 148 | CNC07 | 8/6/99 | 6:30:13 | 8/5/99 | 22:30:13 | 57.4578 | 163.3759 | 51 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 149 | CNC08 | 8/6/99 | 7:07:01 | 8/5/99 | 23:07:01 | 57.4202 | 163.4168 | 51 |  |
| 150 | CNC09 | 8/6/99 | 7:48:35 | 815/99 | 23:48:35 | -57.3801 | 163.4593 | 52 |  |
| 151 | CNC10 | 8/6/99 | 8:19:31 | 8/6/99 | 0:19:31 | 57.3437 | 163.4985 | 54 |  |
| 152 | CNC12 | 8/6/99 | 9:19:36 | 8/6/99 | 1:19:36 | 57.266 | 163.5817 | 62 |  |
| 153 | CNC13 | 8/6/99 | 10:18:43 | 8/6/99 | 2:18:43 | 57.1883 | 163.6638 | 65 |  |
| 154 | CNC14 | 8/6/99 | 11:19:30 | 8/6/99 | 3:19:30 | 57.1108 | 163.7469 | 68 |  |
| 155 | CNC15 | 8/6/99 | 12:24:31 | 8/6/99 | 4:24:31 | 57.0332 | 163.829 | 69 |  |
| 156 | CNC16 | 8/6/99 | 13:27:03 | 8/6/99 | 5:27:03 | 56.9549 | 163.9138 | 70 |  |
| 157 | MOOR2 | 8/6/99 | 14:51:17 | 8/6/99 | 6:51:17 | 56.8133 | 164.0019 | 73 | Zeeman's Deck Prod |
| 158 | MOOR2 | 8/6/99 | 15:16:17 | 8/6/99 | 7:16:17 | 56.8156 | 163.9983 | 73 | Rho's Prod cast |
| 159 | CNC11 | 8/6/99 | 22:18:34 | 8/6/99 | 14:18:34 | 57.3045 | 163.5405 | 58 | Zeeman's Prod Cast |
| 160 | CNC11 | 8/6/99 | 22:39:51 | 8/6/99 | 14:39:51 | 57.3045 | 163.5409 | 58 | Rho's prod |
| 161 | CNC11 | 8/6/99 | 23:20:17 | 8/6/99 | 15:20:17 | 57.3029 | 163.5394 | 58 | Brady's microzooplankton |
| 162 | CNC11 | 8/6/99 | 23:39:11 | 8/6/99 | 15:39:11 | 57.3025 | 163.5412 | 58 | Dean Chla |
| 163 | CNCXO1 | 8/7/99 | 15:11:42 | 8/7/99 | 7:11:42 | 57.7307 | 163.0792 | 46 |  |
| 164 | CNC01 | 8/7/99 | 15:36:10 | 8/7/99 | 7:36:10 | 57.6912 | 163.1223 | 46 |  |
| 165 | CNC02 | 8/7/99 | 16:00:39 | 8/7/99 | 8:00:39 | 57.6518 | 163.1647 | 47 |  |
| 166 | CNC04 | 8/7/99 | 16:39:46 | 8/7/99 | 8:39:46 | 57.5751 | 163.2476 | 48 |  |
| 167 | CNC06 | 8/7/99 | 17:17:53 | 8/7/99 | 9:17:53 | 57.4968 | 163.332 | 50 |  |
| 168 | CNC07 | 8/7/99 | 17:40:27 | 8/7/99 | 9:40:27 | 57.4577 | 163.3737 | 51 |  |
| 169 | CNC08 | 8/7/99 | 18:04:02 | 8/7/99 | 10:04:02 | 57.4184 | 163.4176 | 52 |  |
| 170 | CNC05 | 8/7/99 | 19:10:09 | 8/7/99 | 11:10:09 | 57.5353 | 163.2903 | 48 | Zeeman's Prod Cast |
| 171 | CNC05 | 8/7/99 | 19:31:29 | 8/7/99 | 11:31:29 | 57.5343 | 163.2958 | 48 | Rho's prod |

Table of all CTD casts (continued)

| 172 | CNCX02 | $8 / 7 / 99$ | $22: 36: 33$ | $8 / 7 / 99$ | $14: 36: 33$ | 57.7705 | 163.0409 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 173 | CNCX02 | $8 / 7 / 99$ | $23: 03: 55$ | $8 / 7 / 99$ | $15: 03: 55$ | 57.7716 | 163.0407 | 45 |




## 06/16/99 INStar - 15:40:03

Scale: 398.5NM 1:3428000 Chart: World
CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.

UNDER

CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.

CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.

08/21/99 INStar - 22:14:47
UNDER


06/16/99 INStar - 16:17:32
Scale: 34.8NM 1:299000 Chart: Coastal UNDER


## 06/16/99 INStar - 16:16:24 <br> Scale: $33.9 \mathrm{NM} 1: 292000$ Chart: General

CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.

06/16/99 INStar - 16:14:15
Scale: 34.0 NM 1:293000 Chart: General
CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.


06/16/99 INStar - 16:12:41
Scale: 74.4NM 1:640000 Chart: General UNDER
CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.


06/16/99 INStar - 16:11:37


CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means.


W 166

W $166^{\circ} 50^{\circ}$

W 166 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$

W 167*


$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
\mid & \\
& \square \\
& 8 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\Delta \frac{\bar{\omega}}{z}
$$

$$
\Delta \frac{\tilde{U}}{\frac{\ddot{1}}{2}}
$$

$$
\triangleright \frac{\tilde{1}}{2} \quad D \frac{\ddot{U}}{2}
$$

－亳
－$\frac{\text { Wi }}{2}$



$$
5=
$$

$$
12
$$

$$
\frac{\stackrel{0}{2}}{2}
$$


－鱼

券
若

$$
\frac{8}{2}
$$

$$
\Delta \frac{10}{2}
$$

－䒿
D $\frac{\pi}{2}$
－$\frac{\circ}{2}$
－䯩
$\Delta \frac{\text { z }}{2}$
－急
－$\frac{8}{2}$


$\frac{\text { E }}{\sum}$
$\frac{\stackrel{9}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}}$



$\stackrel{\nabla}{\text { N1D10 }}$
W $168^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$
－$\frac{9}{2}$
D
－$\frac{3}{2}$
－寒



$\rightarrow z$

－立
－岂
－

岸
$\qquad$


N

Scale: 58.4NM 1:502000 Chart:
CAUTION: Chart Printouts should not be used as the primary navigational means,


## 08/21/99 INStar - 22:17:11

Scale: 40.2 NM 1:345000 Chart: Coastal





(u) чアdə $\mathbb{I}$
$\underset{\text { Temperature ( }{ }^{\text {C } C)}}{\text { Whale }} \mathbf{N}$-Line,

(u) чıdəa

(u) чıdəa



















(tu) yldag

(แ) yłdวa


(ui) પIdə $\mathbf{I}$
采

(w) чアdəa

(ui) yldəa

(ui) чıdəa











亲 Temperature ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

Distance (km)

(w) $\Psi 1$ də $\mathbb{I}$

(wi) ఛว $\downarrow$ da





స్త

(u) पұdə $\mathbb{G}$

(w) ఛฉปə












(u) प7dəa

Cape Newenham

(띠) પวdəの

(แu) पІdəด
















(แ̈) ழpdə $\mathbb{I}$

(u) uldə

(wi) џฎdəa
















(ㄸ) प7dəa

(ㄸ) पІdəव

(แu) पアdəa








(w) पlıdəa

$\square$

(w) 4łdəa


(w) uldəa


(u) uldəg





